

AGENDA ITEM 14.2

REPORT OF THE JUDGING SUB-COMMITTEE

John Gaillard, Chairman



Judge Selection 2011

The process of Judge Selection commenced shortly after the last CIVA meeting, when invitations were sent out to all those judges with an average RI ranking of less than fifth averaged over the immediate past three aerobatic seasons.

Once the replies had been received the results were summarised and copies were sent out to both the Judging Sub-Committee and to CIVA Delegates, this to try and ensure that there were no omissions due to incorrect mailing addresses etc. This process was to fill the seven places at each contest allocated to CIVA Judges, who are selected on the basis on previous experience and performance.

In the case of gliding judge selection where only two years of performance data was present using the ACRO System, invitations were sent out on the basis of all previously summarised data.

From the data received it was possible to make an initial selection for the CIVA Judges and the process was the opened up to all CIVA Delegates and judges with previous contest data, to select the remaining three judging positions for all contests, judges without previous International experience were asked to send in data from their relative National Championships with regards to their judging performance.

Many additional applications were received at this stage, which were again summarised and sent out to the Judging Sub-Committee for voting purposes.

It must be noted at this stage that although it is recommended in CIVA Regulations that ten judges be selected for a championships, it is only an absolute requirement for seven to be paid for by the Contest Organisation, this led to a situation where the WAC Organisers agreed to pay for all ten judges with the proviso that an Italian Judges was represented at WAC, in the case of the EAAC the Organisers were in a position where only the seven CIVA Judges could be paid for.

It had been decided by the Judging Sub-Committee that every effort should be made to field a team of ten judges at each contest and also to conduct formal judging seminars before each contest (dealt with below), on this basis the selection process went ahead within the



Committee to make the final selection for all contests and the final request for voting on judges selection went out on 5th February. This process resulted in a final selection for both WAC & Gliding Championships and a tied position for the final place at the EAAC; a fresh vote was taken to resolve this situation. Finally on 10th February the selection was finalised (copy of the notification letter to the CIVA Bureau attached) and at this stage we had ten judges for all contests, with the process completed in early February.

It is considered that the selection process is effective, providing a good balance of judges with the majority being of proven performance, the procedure is also open with CIVA Delegates being notified at the preliminary application stage and immediately after final selection, no changes are considered necessary or under consideration.

CIVA Bureau

Hello Everyone,

Have received this morning the final votes for the tied position at the EAAC, Chomono, Arvidsson, Mamistov & Gaillard voted for Kuchler, this is sufficient for a majority.

Therefore the voting process for judge lines for 2011 has now been completed and the CIVA Judging Committee has verified the results, after two minor corrections. Nick Buckenham is away for two weeks and I have checked his input, the selected judges are as listed below: -

CIVA Appointed Judges

<u><i>WAC</i></u>			<u><i>EAAC</i></u>		<u><i>Gliding</i></u>	
<i>Bartholdi</i>	-	<i>FIN</i>	<i>Bialek</i>	-	<i>POL</i>	<i>Bajzik</i> - <i>CZE</i>
<i>Bezdenzhnykh</i>	-	<i>RUS</i>	<i>Courtois</i>	-	<i>FRA</i>	<i>Dugas</i> - <i>FRA</i>
<i>Gaillard</i>	-	<i>RSA</i>	<i>Gedminaite</i>	-	<i>LIT</i>	<i>Courtois</i> - <i>FRA</i>
<i>Gedminaite</i>	-	<i>LIT</i>	<i>Razhin</i>	-	<i>RUS</i>	<i>Gawecki</i> - <i>POL</i>
<i>Itier</i>	-	<i>FRA</i>	<i>Rudd</i>	-	<i>USA</i>	<i>Hau</i> - <i>GER</i>
<i>Talabos Snr</i>	-	<i>HUN</i>	<i>Virtanen</i>	-	<i>FIN</i>	<i>Lambert</i> - <i>AUS</i>
<i>Zelenina</i>	-	<i>UKR</i>	<i>Zelenina</i>	-	<i>UKR</i>	<i>Rudd</i> - <i>USA</i>

CIVA Invited Judges

<i>Gallaway</i>	-	<i>USA</i>	<i>Auger</i>	-	<i>FRA</i>	<i>Kaftan</i> - <i>CZE</i>
<i>Kotelnikov</i>	-	<i>RUS</i>	<i>Talabos</i>	-	<i>HUN</i>	<i>Maxen</i> - <i>DEN</i>
<i>Marengo</i>	-	<i>ITA</i>	<i>Kuchler</i>	-	<i>SWI</i>	<i>Talabos Jnr</i> - <i>HUN</i>

Attached is the final corrected summary of voting,

Best regards,

John Gaillard

Revisions to the Final Judge Selection

After the final Judge selection had been announced, two judges became unavailable to serve, these being Rudd of the USA for both EAAC & Gliding and Talabos Jnr of Hungary for Gliding. In the case of EAAC the JSC simply went to the next person who had received the most votes and appointed this judge, however in the case of gliding a problem emerged as there were not sufficient qualified judges available to field a full judging line and the championship went ahead with only eight judges.

Judging Seminars

It was decided during the last CIVA meeting that judging seminars should be carried out just ahead of all three championships, consisting of both theoretical and practical sessions, these seminars to be funded by a combination of FAI funds set aside for the development of aerobatics and retained CIVA funds. All three seminars took place successfully and it is intended to carry on with this exercise in the next aerobatic season.

CIVA Regulations Concerning Judging

The Judging Sub-Committee reviewed the CIVA Regulations that have a direct effect on judging, to bring these regulations in line with current practise and to remove superfluous material. These proposed changes have already been tabled at the joint Rules & Judging Sub-Committees held in Italy just before WAC, minor adjustments were made and the final recommendations are forwarded separately for the main CIVA meeting, for consideration by Plenary.

Judging Performance Statistics

Three years complete data is now available for both power & gliding championships and will be used in the future selection process.

Overall Judging Position

In the case of power judges, CIVA has a very healthy judging pool, having recruited new judges to its lines over the past few years, the main problem is to ensure that all the judges are given opportunities to serve on International judging lines and therefore keep current, as there is in effect a surplus of judges.

The same cannot be said for the glider judges, this year because of the restrictions of only two judges per Aero Club and the requirement for judges to be current, we were unable to provide a full judging line for the combined Advanced and Unlimited Championships in Poland. This situation does give cause for concern as inspection of the gliding judge data after this year's events would suggest that there will be difficulty in providing ten judges at next year's championships, it would appear that very few new glider judges are coming into the CIVA system.



CIVA 2011
Kraków, Poland

This is not a situation that can be readily corrected by the CIVA Judging Sub-Committee, attention is specifically required from within the CIVA to ensure that those Aero Clubs where the main aerobatic gliding activity takes place, train new judges and put them forward for participation internationally. This matter should be discussed at this year's CIVA meeting and the CIVA Gliding Sub-Committee should become proactive in resolving this issue.

J L Gaillard
Chairman, CIVA Judging Sub-Committee